

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,604	09/28/2004	Kenichi Tajima	JP920030190US1	5603	
32074 7590 09/26/2007 INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-482 2070 ROUTE 52 HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action						
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/711,604	TAJIMA, KENICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Dung Nguyen	2871	

Advisory Action	10//11,004	I AJIMA, KENICHI			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dung Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess		
THE REPLY FILED 14 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action: or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo					
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ootoa olaimis.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:	(,	. 0 = 0 = 1,1.		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an ex	kplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	rit or other evidence is	necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.		
11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		·		
		$\lambda \sim \lambda_{\Lambda}$	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		

**DUNG T. NGUYEN** MARY EXAMINER Continuation of 3. NOTE: the new amended claims would require further consideration.